

FIT 5120 sem 2 2018 Student Iteration Specification Acceptance Criteria V2

C

Iteration _1_ Group Grade:

Receptive to feedback from Monash and industry mentor for all aspects(will lose marks if not)

Mahara documented appropriately (will lose marks if not)

TAS42

	HD	D	CR	P	N		Comments
Opening pages and Introduction						H	For iteration 1
Title page/ Table of contents/		✓					
Concise understanding and explanation of the purpose of the report; Concise and meaningful description of the project –The what, the who for and the why			✓				
Iteration Overview						10	For iteration 1
Overall Iteration Plan- up to date and with user stories – all numbered, high level detail (enough for clear understanding), prioritised, expected date of completion			✓				
Any Changes to priorities and therefore iterations explained (i.e. new functions or moved functions); risks (appropriate)				✓			May be none
Security aspects updated throughout iterations			✓				More sophistication will be required of teams with a MNS student.
<i>Receptive to feedback from Monash and industry mentor. Mahara documented appropriately.</i>							
Current iteration						35	
Link to system uploaded for review (a meaningful domain name/link) with any specific instructions such as password or log in id. Ideally system will be intuitive so no real specific instructions will be needed, unless the build involves a stakeholder e. g. an administrator then proper user instructions needed))			✓				Appropriate and adequate instructions. If a specific stakeholder, specific instructions needed
Detailed user stories/functions (may or may not be a form) Well-designed and easy to understand form with matching numbered user stories with meaningful acceptance criteria, entries need to be complete and meaningful. Easy for all to understand, use, accept, and make comments				✓			
<i>Receptive to feedback from Monash and industry mentor. Mahara documented appropriately.</i>							
Testing Details							
Details of what and how and link to UX video Video of usability testing (link needed , one or two only needed for iteraton1) (including testing hours and approaches) <i>Receptive to feedback from Monash and industry mentor. Mahara documented appropriately.</i>				✓			
Data Source Details							
Names, access, frequencies of updates (source and system), granularity, access and suitable copyright details, Modelling, analysis described thoroughly, the what, why etc Hindsight/Insight/Foresight indicated if necessary. <i>Receptive to feedback from Monash and industry mentor. Mahara documented appropriately.</i>			✓	✓			More sophistication will be required of teams with a MDS student.
Appendix						0	0 For iteration 1

FIT 5120 sem 2 2018 Student Iteration Specification Acceptance Criteria V2

Changes – previous iteration review forms with status of each requested change	✓						(not for Iteration 1) if more than changes then minus marks. (-1 for each section)
System out of Iteration (Build) (DONE)						40	
Current working system - No errors, fit for purpose expected functionality (i.e. size of build, qty of functions) (Integrity Tested by studio mentors)			✓				
Quality control of other team's systems							(A plus number)
Quality control of this system (from other teams)							(A minus number)
User experience appropriate				✓			Reviewed in studio
Code: orderly and documented					✓		missig on mahara
<i>System/Maintenance Information: (where necessary) (Inappropriate or unnecessary documentation will lose marks!)- Use case/ Use case narratives/ Class diagram / Sequence diagrams/ /Any other specification; Data models</i>			✓				Also ↗
<i>Receptive to feedback from all mentors and reviewers.</i>							
Support documentation viewed during reviews in studios and at submission						10	Reviewed in weekly studios
Lean kit Timesheets (including testing hours and approaches)				✓			
Stakeholder Communication (minutes) or link to minutes			✓				
<i>Receptive to feedback from Monash and industry mentor.</i>							
Report Presentation			✓			5	
Professional appearance and style, English expression, .							
Well structured/ Use of headers and footers /Consistent, conforms to team's standards							
<i>Receptive to feedback from Monash and industry mentor.</i>							
Retrospective				✓		H	
Minutes of retrospective held, after feedback in studio							
Total marks						100	
Mahara documented appropriately (minus marks if not)							

Feedback: